JAMES A. GRAASKAMP COLLECTION OF TEACHING MATERIALS

- V. INDUSTRY SEMINARS AND SPEECHES SHORT TERM
 - H. Presentations Sponsored by Other Universities
 - "A Market Comparison Pricing Algorithm Based on Set Theory (Or Bill Shenkel Was Right All Along", sponsored by Center for Real Estate & Urban Economic Studies, The University of Connecticut, Market Comparison Lecture Series, October 25, 1985; includes sample of Experimental Automated Market Comparison Process" plus a slightly different version--probably a preliminary draft

20TH ANNIVERSARY CELEBRATION

CENTER FOR REAL ESTATE AND URBAN LAND ECONOMIC STUDIES

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT SCHOOL OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

October 25, 1985

Howard Johnson's Conference Center Windsor Locks, Connecticut

A MARKET COMPARISON PRICING ALGORITHM BASED ON SET THEORY (OR BILL SCHENKEL WAS RIGHT ALL ALONG)

- I. The appraisal process is a specialty in the information game, specifically the search for patterns in a glut of data points with plausible relevance to any given decision.
 - A. The metaphysics of information processing for decisions, especially appraisal decisions, should include at least four essential readings:
 - 1. Grammatical Man: Information, Entropy, Language, and Life by Jeremy Campbell, a Touchstone Book, Simon & Schuster, Inc., New York, 1982.
 - 2. The Complete Problem Solver by John R. Hayes, The Franklin Institute Press, Distributed by Charles Scribner's Sons, Philadelphia, PA, 1981.
 - 3. "Bounded Rationality" (See Exhibit 1 for summary statement of sources on this amended concept of prudent man.)
 - 4. Schenkel
 - B. Gene Dilmore has stated that the appraiser must choose his comparable data subjectively, but then treat it in an objective manner. Schenkel would argue that using Set Theory forces the appraiser to have explicit rules for the selection of comparables, and Dilmore would add that Set Theory allows us to sidestep the degrees of freedom required for inference about a larger universe by presuming the set to be the universe.
 - C. Dilmore has described the three approaches to value as Order, Chance, and Beauty
 - 1. ORDER assumes under everything is a universe in which the parts fit, information has a shape. Remember the test for color blindness, random dots in random colors until suddenly you see the red dots only as a letter or a number. As appraisers, we look for the red dots. Alternatively, appraisers are like the sculptor who chisels away that part of the rock that is not part of the figurine which is to remain.
 - 2. CHANCE acknowledges the possibility of alternative outcomes in our little closed system. Imprecision is inherent to behavioral science. No respectable scientist is afraid of the words "variance" or "error". We know in part

and see but through a glass darkly. We may be able to predict without always understanding cause and effect because of unknown cross-correlations.

- 3. BEAUTY can be a legitimate basis for constructing a hypothesis—elegance is the ultimate intuitive choice, judgment, or gut response. Models may be elegant and not fully understood but useful and sometimes dangerous like Ellwood, regression and cost. Remember Einstein created E=MC² using the speed of light for elegance 20 years before there was empirical justification.
- D. A less poetic hierarchy for appraisal methodology using contemporary tools is provided by Ratcliff who rated techniques by their power to predict behavior to a most probable price forecast:
 - 1. MARKET COMPARISON INFERENCE from past transactions of buyers of similar motivation purchasing properties with similar productivity to the subject property in order to find, inductively, the most probable price within a transaction zone acceptable to both buyers and sellers.
 - 2. SIMULATION OF BUYER CALCULUS based on interviews of successful buyers in the marketplace with skills and past behavior necessary to implement the most probable use for the subject property, but this method was to be used in the absence of comparable sales to define the transaction zone of the buyer or as a check on values determined from market inference.
 - 3. NORMATIVE METHODS representing deductive logical systems as to what the buyers/sellers might do if they thought like an appraiser are the last hope where the appraiser can find no pattern in sales or simulation.
- II. One elegant system for inference from actual market transactions have evolved from the Ratcliff/Graaskamp weighted point process modified by Dilmore with an algorithm computed from non-parametric lattice statistics.
 - A. The Dilmore RATGRAM algorithm for pricing first tests a pricing formula for each selected comparable and then presumes the same algorithm can be applied to the subject property. The procedure requires:
 - 1. Adjusting prices for terms of sale and time on comparable properties. Comparable properties

would be those bought for renovation, or for the owner's own use, etc. You may choose to abstract out land values where size or locational quality is significantly different.

- 2. Selecting a proper unit of comparison.
- 3. Developing a hierarchy of significant attributes thought to affect price and scoring each property on a point system.
- 4. Developing a weighting system to rank the relative importance ordinal attribute scores on a cardinal scale.
- 5. Developing a price per weighted point per unit of comparison.
- 6. Testing the price weighting formula for best estimate of the sales price of actual comparables in order to minimize dispersion and variance between actual price and price estimated by formula.
- 7. Applying a price per point per unit formula to the subject property to estimate range of alternative prices.
- 8. Adjusting of predicted price for unique externalities such as land, financing, or non-transferable license.
- B. Search for appropriate unit of comparison as a single variable in a linear regression by trying three or four unit concepts, such as: (See Exhibit 2.)
 - 1. Gross building area
 - 2. Net leasable area
 - 3. Cubage
 - 4. Two times the first floor area plus gross building area
 - 5. Barrels of cranberries rather than acres of cranberries
 - 6. Number of bedrooms rather than square feet.
- C. Arrive at a price per unit as the first step in establishing a price algorithm.

- D. Identify property attributes which distinguish subject properties qualitatively from one another and develop a simple scoring system.
 - 1. 5-3-1 is one method, but scores may become multipliers and lead to distortion.
 - 2. Dilmore prefers:

Rating	<u>Points</u>
Excellent	26
Good	20
Average	15
Fair	13
Poor	10

- E. See selection of examples in Exhibits 3 through 14.
- F. The algorithm process offers several pluses while avoiding protocol problems with regression.
 - 1. The price algorithm is first justified by the degree of explanation it provides on specific comparable property prices.
 - 2. It can be replicated manually or with the aid of the mini-computer by juries, clients, or for the next similar subject property.
 - 3. It sidesteps the needs for large numbers of sales transactions and fits the theory of bounded rationality which is the modern interpretation of prudent man.
 - 4. It avoids the problem of appraisal protocol encountered by regression when the subject property is compared to a hypothetical set of means from a large number of observations with which the appraiser may not be familiar. Regression does not allow the appraiser to set his own adjustments on attributes nor identify and rank specific comps to the subject property.
 - 5. The RATGRAM-DILMORE algorithm is pragmatic and yet compatible with the development of in-house appraisal data bank or other techniques which admit of uncertainty such as fuzzy sets or of conflict such as game theory models.

EXHIBIT 2

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS AND R² OF SALES PRICE

Space Unit	Correlation	R ² :
First floor frontage (frt)	0.745	55.5%
Lot area	0.908	82.4
First floor (1st fl)	0.790	62.4
First floor + Upper floors (upp fl)	0.933	87.0
1st fl + .05 (upp fl)	0.919	84.5
2(1st fl) + upp fl	0.919	84.5
(1st fl) x (frt)	0.784	61.5
[1st f1 + 0.5 (upp f1)] x (frt)	0.864	74.6
[2(1st fl) + upp fl)] x (frt)	0.864	74.6
(1st fl + upp fl) x (frt)	0.874	76.4

EXHIBIT 3

RATGRAM STYLE

WOOLWORTH BUILDING SCALE FOR SCORING COMPARABLES ON IMPORTANT INVESTOR CONSIDERATIONS FOR OFFICE - RETAIL SPACE IN MADISON C-4 ZONING

LOCATION 10%

5 = High visibility

3 = Corner visibility limited

1 = Inside lot

EXPANSION POTENTIAL 30%

5 = Potential for significant increases of floor space

3 = Flexible layouts due to bay spacing and elevator position

1 = Inflexibility of layout due to old bearing walls and

elevator shafts

CONDITION AT TIME OF PURCHASE 25%

5 = Fully renovated and leased

3 = Long-term retail leases in place. Serviceable as retail

in tired space.

1 = Vacant and in need of total rehabilitation. Short-term lease or large vacancy in need of total rehabilitation.

ELEVATORS AT TIME OF PURCHASE ' 20%

5 = Two passenger and freight

3 = Two passenger 1 = One passenger

FENESTRATION ON UPPER LEVEL

5 = Large windows facing the Square

3 = Limited window area

1 = No windows

WOOLWORTH BUILDING

WEIGHTED MATRIX FOR COMPARABLE PROPERTIES

SCORE/WEIGHTED SCORE

ATTR IBUTE	WEIGHT	COMPARABLE NO. 1 30 N. CARROLL WOLFF KUBLY	COMPARABLE NO. 2 14 W. MIFFLIN	COMPARABLE NO. 3 5 & 7 E. MIFFLIN CENTRE SEVEN	COMPARABLE NO. 4 50 E. MIFFLIN EMPORIUM	COMPARABLE NO. 5 2 W. HIFFLIN WOOLMORTH	SUBJECT
LOCATION	10%	3/0.30	1/0,10	1/0.10	3/0.30	5/0.50	5/0.50
EXPANSION PUTENTIAL AT TIME OF SALE		3/0.90	1/0.30	1/0.30	5/1.50	3/0.90	3/0.90
CONDITION AT	25%	1/0.25	5/1.25	1/0.25	3/0.75	3/0.75	1/0.2
ELEVATORS IN PLACE	201	5/1.00	3/0.60	1/0.20	3/0.60	1/0.20	1/0.20
FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS	15\$	1/0.15	5/0.75	5/0.75	1/0.15	3/0.45	3/0.45

TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	100%	2,60	3.00	1.60	3.30	2.80	2.30
			* * * * * * * * * *			= = = = = = = = =	
ADJUSTED SELLING PRIC	E [1]	\$625,000	\$750,000	\$240,000	\$8 50,000	\$662,500	
DATE OF SALE		7/17/80	2/27/84	12/31/77	4/30/78	7/31/78	
GROSS BUILDI AREA (CBA)	NG	41,000 SF	40,000 SF	26,000 SF	42,500 SF	39,000 SF	39,000 SE
ADJUSTED PRI	CE/GBA	\$15.24	\$18.75	\$ 9.23	\$20.00	\$16.99	
ADJUSTED PRI	CE/GBA/ Int score	\$5.86	\$6.25	\$5.77	\$6.06	\$6.08	

^[1] See Appendix _ for essumptions and calculations to determine adjusted selling price.

ELEVATORS IN PLACE

FENESTRATION ON UPPER FL = 15

WOOLWORTH - RATGRAM STYLE

Attribute Names, Prelim. Weights LOCATION 2D EXPANSION POTENTIAL 20 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 20 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 30 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 20 # of Observations = 5 Observ. # 1 UOLFF-KLELY-30 N. CARROLL Price 15.24 LOCATION 3 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 3 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 1 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 5 FENESTRATION ON LPPER FLOORS 1
Observ. # 2 14 9. HIFFLIN Price 18.75 LOCATION 1 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 1 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 5 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 3 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 5 Observ. # 3 CENTRE SEVEN-5 & 7 N. PINCKNEY Price 7.23 LOCATION 1 EMPANSION POTENTIAL 1 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 1 ELEVATORS IN PLACE FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS S Observ. # 4 EPPORIUM-50 E. MIFFLIN Price 20 LOCATION 3 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 5 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 3 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 3 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 1 Observ. # 5 WOOLWORTH-2 W. MIFFLIN Price 16.99 LOCATION 5 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 3 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 3 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 1 FENESTRATION ON LETTER FLOORS 3 The Mesn x1 20 20 20 ϖ 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 15 15 15 25 30 25 25 25 30 Median - 5.841538 = 5.913663 Mean Standard Deviation = .5637666 Weights: LOCATION EXPANSION POTENTIAL = 20 CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 20 ELEVATORS IN PLACE FENESTRATION ON LEPER FL = 20 Final Results: Number of Combinations Number of Combinations Adding to 100% = 361 Median = 6.060606 Mean = 6.00175 Standard Deviation = .1893479 Weights. LOCATION = 10 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 30 CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 25

```
# Attributes = 5
```

WOOLWORTH - RATGRAM STYLE 2nd RUN

```
Attribute Names: Prelim. Weights
 LOCATION 20
 EPANSION POTENTIAL ID
 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 20
 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 20
 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 20
 # of Observations # 5
Observ. # 1 WOLFF-KLBLY-30 N. CARROLL Price 15.24
        LOCATION 3
EXPANSION POTENTIAL
        CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 1
        ELEVATORS IN PLACE S
        FENESTRAFION ON UPPER FLOORS 1
Observ. # 2 14 W. MIFFLIN Price 18.75
        LOCATION 1
        EXPANSION POTENTIAL 1 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 5
        ELEVATORS IN PLACE 3
        FENESTRATION ON LEFFER FLOORS 5
Observ. # 3 CENTRE SEVEN-5 & 7 N. PINOXNEY Price 9.23
        LOCATION 1
        EXPANSION POTENTIAL
        CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 1
        ELEVATORS IN PLACE :
        FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 5
Observ. # 4 EMPORIUM-50 E. MIFFLIN Price 20
        LOCATION 3
        EXPANSION POTENTIAL 5
        CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 3
        ELEVATORS IN PLACE 3
        FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 1
Observ. # 5 WOOLLORTH-2 W. MIFFLIN Price 16.77
        EXPANSION POTENTIAL 3
        CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 3
        ELEVATORS IN PLACE 1
        FENESTRATION ON OFFER FLOORS 3
The Matrix!
 he Matrix:

10 30 25 20 1

0 20 15 10 5

4 25 20 15 10
               20 15
                     10
 15 35
                25
30
            35
 Median
                      = 4.040404
 Mean
                      - 6.00175
 Standard Deviation = .1873479
Meights:
LOCATION
                     = 10
= 30
EXPANSION POTENTIAL
CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 25
ELEVATORS IN PLACE
FENESTRATION ON UPPER FL = 15
 Final Results:
 Number of Combinations
                                       - 3:25
 Number of Combinations Adding to 100% = 361
```

ELFJATORS IN PLACE

= 6.060606

Median

EXHIBIT 6
WOOLWORTH - RATGRAM STYLE

CALCULATION OF MOST PROBABLE PRICE USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD

COMPARABLE PROPERTY	SELLING PRICE PER SF OF GBA	POINT SCORE	PRICE PER SF OF GBA/ TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE (x)
1	\$15.24	2.60	\$5.86
2	18.75	3.00	6.25
3	9.23	1.60	5.77
4	20.00	3.30	6.06
5	16.99	2.80	6.08
		TOTAL	\$30.02

Total of <u>Price Per SF of GBA</u> = \$30.02 Total Weighted Score

Mean Value $(\bar{x}) = 30.02/5 = 6.00

$$\frac{0.1450}{4} = \sqrt{0.03625} = 0.190394 \text{ or } \$0.19$$

Value Range of Price/Point Score: $\$6.00 \pm \0.19

Since GBA of subject is 39,000 square feet and total weighted point score of subject is 2.3, then:

High

Estimate: $$6.19 \times 2.3 \times 39,000 \text{ SF} = $555,243 \text{ or } $560,000$

(\$14.23/SF)

Central

Tendency: $$6.00 \times 2.3 \times 39,000 \text{ SF} = $538,200 \text{ or } $540,000$

(\$13.80/SF)

Low

Estimate: $$5.81 \times 2.3 \times 39,000 \text{ SF} = $521,159 \text{ or } $520,000$

(\$13.36/SF)

JUSTIFICATION OF COMPARABLE PRICE FORMULA FOR WOOLWORTH BUILDING BY MEANS OF ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF ACTUAL SALE PRICE VS. PREDICTED PRICE OF COMPARABLES USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD

NO.	COMPARABLE PROPERTY	WEIGHTED POINT SCORE	MEAN PRICE PER POINT SCORE	PREDICTED PRICE/ SF GBA	ACTUAL PRICE/ SF GBA	VARIANCE	S OF VARIANCE TO ACTUAL PRICE
1	WCLFF RUBLY 30 N. Cerroll Street	2.60	\$6.00	\$15.60	\$15.24	\$ 0.36	2.45
2	14 W. Mifflin Street	3.00	6.00	18.00	18.75	- 0.75	4.0
3	CENTRE SEVEN 5 & 7 N. Pinckney Street	1.60	6.00	9.60	9.23	0.37	4.0
4	EMPORIUM 50 E. Mifflin Street	3.30	6.00	19.80	20.00	- 0.20	1.0
5	WOOLMORTH 2 W. Mifflin Street	2.80	6.00	16.80	16.99 NET VARIANCE	<u> 0.19</u> \$ 0.41	1.1

EXHIBIT 8

WOOLWORTH BUILDING SCALE FOR SCORING COMPARABLES ON IMPORTANT INVESTOR CONSIDERATIONS FOR OFFICE - RETAIL SPACE IN MADISON C-4 ZONING DILMORE STYLE

LOCATION 15% 26 = High visibility

15 = Corner visibility limited

10 = Inside lot

EXPANSION POTENTIAL 30%

26 = Potential for significant increases of floor space

15 = Flexible layouts due to bay spacing and elevator position

10 = Inflexibility of layout due to old bearing walls and elevator shafts

CONDITION AT TIME OF PURCHASE 401

26 = Fully renovated and leased

15 = Long-term retail leases in place. Serviceable as retail in tired space.

10 = Vacant and in need of total rehabilitation. Short-term lease or large vacancy in need of total rehabilitation.

ELEVATORS AT TIME OF PURCHASE 15%

26 = Two passenger and freight

15 = Two passenger 10 = One passenger

MOOLMONTH BUILDING

WEIGHTED NATRIX FOR COMPARABLE PROPERTIES

SCORE/WEIGHTED SCORE

DILHORE STYLE

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHT	COMPARABLE NO. 1 30 N. CARROLL WOLFF KUBLY	COMPARABLE NO. 2 14 W. MIFFLIN	COMPARABLE NO. 3 5 & 7 E. MIFFLIN CENTRE SEVEN	COMPARABLE NO. 4 50 E. MIFFLIN EMPORIUM	COMPARABLE NO. 5 2 W. MIFFLIN WOOLMORTH	SUBJECT
LOCATION	15\$	15/2.25	10/1.50	10/1.50	15/2.25	26/3.90	26/3.90
EXPANSION POTENTIAL AT TIME OF SALE		15/4.50	10/3.00	10/3.00	26/7.80	15/4.50	15/4.5
CONDITION AT		10/4.00	26/10.40	10/4.00	15/6.00	15/6.00	10/4.0
ELEVATORS IN PLACE	15%	26/3.90	15/2.25	10/1.50	15/2.25	10/1.50	10/1.5
TOTAL MEIGHTED SCORE	1005	14.65	17.15	10.00	18.30	15.90	13.9
ADJUSTED SELLING PRIC	z [1]	\$625,000	\$750,000	\$240,000	\$850,000	\$ 662,500	
DATE OF SALI		7/17/80	2/27/84	12/31/77	4/30/78	7/31/78	
GROSS BUILD! AREA (GBA)	ENG	'41,000 SF	40,000 SF	26,000 SF	42,500 SF	39,000 SF	39,000 5
ADJUSTED PR	I CE/GBA	\$15.24	\$18.75	\$ 9.23	\$20,00	\$16.99	
ADJUSTED PRI	ICE/GBA 4 INT SCORI	\$1.04	\$1.09	\$0.92	\$1.09	\$1.07	

^[1] See Appendix _ for assumptions and calculations to determine adjusted selling price.

FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 20

Attributes = 5

WOOLWORTH - DILMORE STYLE
Attribute Names, Prefix. Weights 1st RUN
LOCATION 20
EXPANSION POTENTIAL TO
CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 20
ELEVATORS IN PLACE 20

of Observations # 5

Observ. # 1 WOLFF-KLBLY Price 15.24 LOCATION 15 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 15 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 10 BLEVATORS IN PLACE 26 PENESTRATION ON UPPER PLOORS 10 Observ. # 2 14 W. MIFFLIN Price 18.75 LOCATION 10 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 10 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 26 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 15 PENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 24 Observ. # 3 CENTRE SEVEN Price 7.23 LOCATION 18 EMPANSION POTENTIAL 10 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 10 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 15 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 26 Observ. # 4 EMPORIUM Price ZD LOCATION 15

CONSERV. # 4 EMPORIUM Price ZD LOCATION 15 EXPANSION POTENTIAL ZA CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 15 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 15

- FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 10 Observ. # 5 WOOLWORTH Price 16.99 LOCATION 26

EXPANSION POTENTIAL 15 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 15 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 10

FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 15

The Metrix:

 20
 20
 20
 20
 20

 10
 10
 10
 10
 10

 15
 15
 15
 15
 15

 25
 25
 25
 25
 25

 30
 30
 30
 30
 30

Median = 1.048765 Mean = 1.012557 Standard Deviation = .1754356

Weights:
LOCATION = 20
EXPANSION POTENTIAL = 20
CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 20
ELEVATORS IN PLACE = 20
FENESTRATION ON UPPER FL = 20

Final Results:

Number of Combinations = 3125 Number of Combinations Adding to 100% = 361

Median = 1.060553 Mean = 1.024281 Standard Deviation = .1314307

Upights:
LOCATION = 15
EXPANSION POTENTIAL = 30
CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 30
ELEVATORS IN PLACE = 15
FENESTRATION ON UPPER FL = 10

FENESTRATION ON LPPER FLOORS 20

Attributes = 5

Attribute Numes: Prelim, Weights
LOCATION 20
EXPANSION POTENTIAL 20
CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 20
ELEVATORS IN PLACE 20

of Observations = 5

Observ. # : WOLFF-KLBLY Price 15.24 LOCATION 15 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 15 CONDITION ATTIME OF SALE 18 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 26 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 10 Observ. # Z 14 U. MIFFLIN Price 18.75 LOCATION 18 EPANSION POTENTIAL 10 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 24 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 15 FENESTRATION ON UPPER FLOORS 24 Observ. # 3 CENTRE SEVEN Price 9.23 LOCATION 10 EXPANSION POTENTIAL 10 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 10 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 10 PENESTRATION ON LEPER FLOORS 24 Observ. # 4 EMPORIUM Price 20 LOCATION 15 EPANSION POTENTIAL 26 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 15 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 15 FENESTRATION ON LPPER FLOORS 18 Observ. # 5 WOOLWORTH Price 16.99 LOCATION 26 EPANSION POTENTIAL 15 CONDITION AT TIME OF SALE 15 ELEVATORS IN PLACE 10 PENESTRATION ON UPPER PLOORS 15 The Matrix: 15 30 30 15 10 5 20 20 5 0 10 25 25 10 5 20 35 35 20 15 25 40 40 25 20

Median = 1.066553 Mean = 1.024281 Standard Deviation = .1314337

Lights:
LOCATION = 15
EXPANSION POTENTIAL = 30
CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 30
ELEVATORS IN PLACE = 15
FENESTRATION ON LIPPER FL = 10

Finel Results:
Number of Combinations = 3125
Number of Combinations Adding to 100% = 361

-Median = 1.068553 Mean = 1.043603 Standard Deviation = 7.084803E-02

Weights: LOCATION = 15 EXPANSION POTENTIAL = 30 CONDITION AT TIME OF SAL = 40

WOOLWORTH - DILMORE STYLE 2nd RUN

EXHIBIT 11

WOOLWORTH BUILDING CALCULATION OF MOST PROBABLE PRICE USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD DILMORE STYLE

=======================================		=======	DDICE DED SE OF CDA/
COMPARABLE PROPERTY	SELLING PRICE PER SF OF GBA	POINT SCORE	PRICE PER SF OF GBA/ TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE (x)
1	\$15.24	14.65	\$1.04
2	18.75	17.15	1.09
3	9.23	10.00	0.92
4	20.00	18.30	. 1.09
5	16.99	15.90	_1.07
		TOTA	L \$5.21
	rice Per SF of GBA tal Weighted Score	= \$5.21	
Mean Value ($(\bar{x}) = \$5.21 + 5$	= \$1.04	
Standard Dev	viation of the Mear	= \[\]	$\frac{2(x-x)^2}{n-1} = 0.07 where:

· Value Range of Price/Point Score: \$1.04 ± \$0.07

Since GBA of subject is 39,000 square feet and total weighted point score of subject is 13.90, then:

High

Estimate: $$1.11 \times 13.90 \times 39,000 \text{ SF} = $601,731 \text{ or } $600,000$

(\$15.43/SF)

Central

\$1.04 x 13.90 x 39,000 SF = \$563,784 or \$560,000 (\$14.46/SF) Tendency:

Low

Estimate: $$0.97 \times 13.90 \times 39,000 \text{ SF} = $525,837 \text{ or } $530,000$

(\$13.48/SF)

COMPARISON OF WOOLWORTH DEMONSTRATION -RATGRAM STYLE AND WOOLWORTH - DILMORE STYLE

	RATGRAM STYLE	DILMORE STYLE	% VARIANCE RATGRAM TO DILMORE
Estimated Value Central Tendency	\$ 540 , 000	\$ 560,000	3.7%

JUSTIFICATION OF COMPARABLE PRICE FORMULA FOR WOOLNOTH BUILDING BY MEANS OF AMALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF ACTUAL SALE PRICE VS. PREDICTED PRICE OF COMPARABLES USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD DILMORE STYLE

22222	:======================================	WEIGHTED	MEAN PRICE	PREDICTED			S OF VARIANCE	
NO.	COMPARABLE PROPERTY	POINT SCORE	PER POINT SCORE	PRICE/ SF GBA	PRICE/ SF GBA	VARIANCE	TO ACTUAL PRICE	
1	MOLFF KUBLY 30 N. Carroll Street	14.65	\$1.04	\$15.24	\$15.24	\$ 0.00	0.0\$	
2	14 W. Hifflin Street	17.15	1.04	17.84	18.75	- 0.91	4.9	
3	CENTRE SEVEN 5 & 7 N. Pinckney Street	10,00	1.04	10.40	9.23	1.17	12.7	
4	EMPORIUM 50 E. Mifflin Street	18.30	1.04	19.03	20.00	- 0.97	4.9	
5	WOOLMORTH 2 W. Mifflin Street	15.90	1.04	16.54	16.99	<u> </u>	2.6	

EXHIBIT 13

SAMPLE OF EXPERIMENTAL AUTOMATED MARKET COMPARISON PROCESS.

DILMORE

AND

GRAASKAMP

SCALE FOR SCORING COMPARABLE SALES BASED UPON PRICE SENSITIVE ATTRIBUTES GOODWILL BUILDING

ATTRIBUTE	WEIGHT	SCORE
GROSS BUILDING AREA	30%	<pre>5 = Building less than 15,000 SF of GBA 3 = Building between 15,000 SF to 40,000 SF of GBA 1 = Building greater than 40,000 SF of GBA</pre>
LOCATION	30%	5 = Located in South Madison Industrial Park area with or without rail siding or along major highway with rail siding 3 = Located along or visible from a major road such as Highways 51, 151, 113, or 30 in a mixed use area without rail siding. 1 = Located in more isolated commercial mixed use area
RATIO OF LAND TO GBA	10%	without rail siding 5 = Greater than 4:1 3 = Between 4:1 and 2.5:1 1 = Less than 2.5:1
EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION USES		<pre>5 = Efficient layout for accessibility of stored goods with adequate number of overhead doors and truck height loading docks 3 = Adequate layout with limited number of overhead doors and truck height docks 1 = Deep space with inadequate number of overhead doors and truck height doors</pre>
QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM	20%	<pre>5 = Pully insulated with heat in warehouse and office area 3 = Partially heated warehouse space and adequate heated office space 1 = Minimal heat, if any, in warehouse area and small heated office space</pre>

WEIGHTED MATRIX FOR COMPARABLE PROPERTIES SCORE/WEIGHTED SCORE

GOODWILL BUILDING

, 		COMPARABLE NO. 1	COMPANSEZ NO. 2	COMPANABLE NO. 3	COMPANABLE NO. 4	COMPARABLE NO. 5	COMPARABLE NO. 6	SUBJECT
ATTRIBUTE	MEIGHT	1115 O'Maill St.	2810 Bryant St.	910 Meteon Ave.	4401 Cottage Grove Rd.	4610 - 4622 Fencite Ad-	3103 Hetford May	2422 Pennsylvenia
			 					
ZNOSS BUILDING NREA (CBA)	304	5/1.50	3/0.90	1/0.30	3/0.90	5/1.50	5/1.50	3/0.90
LOCATION	301	1/0.30	3/0.90	5/1.50	5/1.50	3/0.90	5/1-50	3/0.90
ratio of Land to GBA	104	3/0.30	1/0.10	1/0.10	5/0.50	3/0.30	1/0.10	1/0.10
BRITCHING DESIGN	10%	3/0.30	1/0.10	1/0.10	5/0.50	\$/0.50	3/0.30	1/0.10
QUALITY OF	20%	5/1.00	3/0.40	3/0.60	1/0.20	5/1.00	1/0.20	5/1.00
TOTAL MEIGHTED SCORE	1004	3.40	2.40	2.60	3.60	4.20	3.60	3.00
							\$209,000	
CASH SELLING PRICE		\$200,000	\$212,000	\$625,000	\$525,000	\$301,000	\$209,000	
DATE OF SALE		6/27/84	6/12/83	6/30/63	1/4/62	2/29/84	6/30/02	
GROSS BUILDING AREA (CBA)		13.632 SF	19.760 SF	57,800 SF	34,517 #	17,300 87	14,000 SF	30,195 SF
CASH PRICE/ SF OF CEA		\$14.46	\$10.73	\$10.61	\$15.21	\$17.40	\$14.94	
CASH PRICE PER MEIGHTED POINT		\$4.2529	\$4.1269	\$4.1577	\$4.2250	\$4.1429	\$4.1500	

JUSTIFICATION OF COMPARABLE PRICE FORMULA FOR THE GOODWILL BUILDING BY MEANS OF ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF ACTUAL SALE PRICE VS. PREDICTED PRICE OF COMPARABLES USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD

NO.	COMPARABLE SALE	WEIGHTED POINT SCORE	MEAN PRICE PER POINT SCORE	PREDICTED PRICE PER SF OF GBA	ACTUAL PRICE PER SF OF GBA	VARIANCE	& OF VARIANCE TO ACTUAL PRICES
1 2 3 4 5	1115 O'Meill Street 2810 Bryant Street 901 Watson Avenue 4401 Cottage Grove Road 4610-22 Pemrite Road 3103 Watford Way	3.40 2.60 2.60 3.60 4.20 3.60	\$4.18 4.18 4.18 4.18 4.18 4.18	14.21 10.87 10.87 15.05 17.56 15.05	14.46 10.73 10.61 15.21 17.40 14.94	- 0.25 0.14 0.06 - 0.16 0.16 0.11	1.78 1.38 0.68 1.18 0.98

GOODWILL BUILDING

CALCULATION OF MOST PROBABLE PRICE USING MEAN PRICE PER POINT EQUATION METHOD

COMPARABLE PROPERTY	CASH SELLING PRICE PER SF OF GBA	WEIGHTED POINT SCORE	PRICE PER SF OF GBA/TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE (x)
1	\$14.46	3.40	\$4.25
2	10.73	2.60	4.13
3	10.81	2.60	4.16
4	15.21	3.60	4.23
5	17.40	4.20	4.14
6	14.94	3.60	4.15
			TOTAL \$25.06

Total of <u>Price per SF of GBA</u> = \$25.06 Total Weighted Score

Mean Value (x) = \$25.06/6 = \$4.18

Standard Deviation =
$$\sqrt{\frac{(x-\bar{x})\sqrt{2}}{n-1}}$$
 = \$0.05 where:

	<u> </u>	$(x-\bar{x})$	(x-x)\2	<u>i</u>	n - 1
4.25 4.13 4.16 4.23 4.14 4.15	4.18 4.18 4.18	0.07 = - 0.05 = - 0.02 = 0.05 = - 0.04 = - 0.03	0.0049 0.0025 0.0004 0.0025 0.0016 0.0009	6	5
			0.0128		

$$\sqrt{\frac{0.0128}{5}} = 0.050596$$

ESTIMATED RANGE OF MOST PROBABLE SELLING PRICE OF THE GOODWILL BUILDING

	SCORE FOR SUBJECT	MEAN VALUE +/- \$0.05/POINT SCORE	PRICE/SF OF GBA	GBA OF SUBJECT	ESTIMATED VALUE
LOW ESTIMATE	3.00	\$4.13	\$12.39	30,195 SF	\$374,116 or \$374,000
CENTRAL TENDENCY	3.00	\$4.18	\$12.54	30,195 SF	\$378,645 or \$379,000
HIGH ESTI MATE	3.00	\$4.23	\$12.69	30,195 SF	\$383,175 or \$383,000

EXHIBIT 14

COMPUTER OUTPUT OF DILMORE QUANTITATIVE POINT WEIGHTING PROGRAM

AND

COMPUTERIZATION OF

ALL OF THE MARKET COMPARISON

CALCULATIONS

```
**** GOODWILL 3 ****
# Attributes = 5
Attribute Names, Prelim. Weights Preliminary weights selected
 GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 20
                                              by the appraisers
 LOCATION 2D
 RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 20
 EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 20
 QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 20
 # of Observations = 6 Comparable sales with score for
                                            each comparable
Observ. # 1 1115 O'NEILL ST Price 14.46
       GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 5
       LOCATION 1
       RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 3
       EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 3
       QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 5
Observ. # 2 2810 BRYANT ST Price 10.73
       GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 3
       LOCATION 3
        RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 1
       EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 1
        QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 3
Observ. # 3 901 WATSON AVE Price 10.81
        GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 1
       LOCATION 5
        RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 1
        EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 1
        QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 3
Observ. # 4 4481 COTTAGE GROVE RD Price 15.21
        GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 3
        LOCATION 5
        RATIO OF LAND TO GEA 5
        EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 5
        QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 1
Observ. # 5 4610-22 FERMITE RD Price 17.4
        GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 5
        LOCATION 3
        RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 3
        EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 5
        QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 5
Observ. # 6 3103 WATFORD WAY Price 14.94
        GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA) 5
        LOCATION 5
        RATIO OF LAND TO GBA 1
        EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN FOR STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION 3
        QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM 1
The Matrix:
                                    — Test matrix to select optimal
  20 20 20 20 20
                                         combination of weights
  10
      10 10 10 10
  15
      15 15 15 15
       25 25
  25
                25
                     25
```

30

30 30

30

30

Median 4.565106 Initial results using 4.528223 Mean appraiser's weights Standard Deviation .441591 Weights: Appraiser's initial weights GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA = LOCATION 20 RATIO OF LAND TO GEA 20 EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING D = 20 QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM Final Results: Iterations to Number of Combinations 3125 select optimal Number of Combinations Adding to 100% = 381 weight Median = 4.153846 <</p> Final results using = 4.175902Mean optimal weights Standard Deviation = 5.067353E-02 Weights: Optimal weights GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA = 30 30 LOCATION 10 RATIO OF LAND TO GBA EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING 0 = 10 QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM = 20

COMPUTERIZATION OF ALL MARKET COMPARISON CALCULATIONS

```
Program Choices Are:
-1. Enter/edit/display/file input data
2. Analyze quality point ratings
3. Display output to screen
-4. Print output to printer
5. Select options
6. Quit
Enter your choice: ? 1
1. Create new data file
2. Load existing disk file for editing
3. Display current data
4. Edit current data
5. Save current data to disk file
6. Clear (erase) all current data
7. Quit load/edit options, return to main program
Enter selection number:
Enter selection number: 1
Enter new data
Enter heading for output: INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE
Enter number of attributes: 9 5
Enter name for attribute: 1 ? GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)
      Preliminary weight: 1 ? 20
Enter name for attribute: 2 ? LOCATION
      Preliminary weight: 2 ? 20
Enter name for attribute: 3 ? RATIO OF LAND TO GBA
      Preliminary weight: 3 ? 20
Enter name for attribute: 4 ? EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN -
      Preliminary weight: 4 ? 20
Enter name for attribute: 5 ? QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM
Weight for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM is 20, so that total of weights is 100.
```

Do you want to <1> Enter a unit price or <2> Enter a total price & size Enter your choice: ? 1 Observation number 1 : Enter-name 1-? 1115 O'NEILL ST. Enter price 1 ? 14.46 -Score for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 5 Score for LOCATION? 1 Score for RATIO OF LAND TO BBA? 3 -Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 3 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 5 Observation number 2 : Enter name 2 ? 2810 BRYANT ST. Enter price 2 ? 10.73 Score for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 3 Score for LOCATION? 3 Scare for RATIO OF LAND TO BBA? 1 Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 1 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 3 Observation number 3 : Enter name 3 ? Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 3 Observation number 3 : Enter name 3 ? 910 WATSON AVE. Enter price 3 ? 10.81 Score for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 1 Score for LOCATION? 5 Score for RATIO OF LAND TO GBA? 1 Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 1 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 3 Observation number 4 : Enter name 4 ? 4401 COTTAGE GROVE RD. Enter price 4 ? 15.21 Score for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 3 Scare for LOCATION? 5 Score for RATIO OF LAND TO GBA? 5 Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 5 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 1

Enter number of observations:? 6

```
Observation number 5 :
 Enter name 5 ?
 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 1
-Observation number 5 :
 Enter name 5 ? 4610-22 FEMRITE RD.
 Enter price 5 ? 17.40
 Score for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 5
 Score for LOCATION? 3
-- Score for RATIO OF LAND TO GBA? 3
 Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 5
 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 5
Observation number 6 :
 Enter name 6 ? 3103 WATFORD WAY
 Enter price 6 ? 14.94
 Scare for GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)? 5
 Score for LOCATION? 5
 Score for RATIO OF LAND TO GBA? 1
 Score for EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 3
 Score for QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM? 1
Enter subject property name:? INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE
Enter the name of the designated unit of comparison
      (acre, square foot, etc.) ? SQUARE FOOT
Enter number of units of comparison for subject
      (acres, square feet, etc.) ? 30195
Enter attribute scores for subject property
                             ? 3
GROSS BUILDING AREA (GBA)
                              ? 3
LOCATION
RATIO OF LAND TO GBA
                             7 1
EFFICIENCY OF BUILDING DESIGN? 1
 QUALITY OF HVAC SYSTEM
```


- -1. Ereate new data file
- 2. Load existing disk file for editing
- 3. Display current data
- 4. Edit current data
- 5. Save current data to disk file
- 6. Clear (erase) all current data
- -7. Guit-foad/edit options, return to main program

Enter selection number: 5

Enter name for data file: ? SAMPLE

- 1. Create new data file
- 2. Load existing disk file for editing
- 3. Display current data
- 4. Edit current data
- 5-Save current data to disk file
- 6. Clear (erase) all current data
- 7. Quit load/edit options, return to main program

Enter selection number: 3

Project title: INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE

Unit prices Search interval = 5

	GR055	LOCAT	RATIO	EFF1C	QUALI	Price
Prel. wts.	20	20	20	20	20	-
1115 O'NEIL	5	1	3	3	5	\$14.46
2810 BRYANT	3	3	1	1	3	\$10.73
910 WATSON	1	5	1	1	3	\$10.81
4401 COTTAG	3	5	5	5	1	\$ 15.21
4610-22 FEM	5	3	3	5	5	\$17.40
3103 WATFOR	5	5	1	3	1	\$ 14.94
INDUSTRIAL	3	3	1	1	5	_

.Qp

Version 2.1

Program Chaices Are:

- 1. Enter/edit/display/file input data
- 2. Analyze quality point ratings
- 3. Display output to screen
- 4. Print output to printer
- -5. -Select options
- 6. Quit

Enter your choice: ? 2

Pass # 1 Combination # 6

Standard deviation = .4693161 Mean = 4.497911

Status GROSS LOCAT RATIO EFFIC QUALI S.D. Mean Prelim. Wts. 20 20 20 20 0 .441591 4.528223

ОP

Version 2.1

Program Choices Are:

- 1. Enter/edit/display/file input data
- 2. Analyze quality point ratings
- 3. Display output to screen
- -4. Print output to printer
- 5. Select options
- 6. Quit

Enter your chaice: ? 3

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- 9. Computation matrix

<Return> to quit

Enter your choice: 1

Weighted Matrix

Feature/ Attribute	GROSS BU	LOCATION	RATIO OF	EFFICIEN	QUALITY	Wtd. score
Initial weights Final weights	20 30	20 30	20 10	20 10	0 20	100-
1115 O'NEILL S 2810 BRYANT ST 910 WATSON AVE 4401 COTTAGE G 4610-22 FEMRIT 3103 WATFORD W INDUSTRIAL WAR	3/ 0.90 1/ 0.30 3/ 0.90 5/ 1.50 5/ 1.50	1/ 0.30 3/ 0.90 5/ 1.50 5/ 1.50 3/ 0.90 5/ 1.50 3/ 0.90	3/ 0.30 1/ 0.10 1/ 0.10 5/ 0.50 3/ 0.30 1/ 0.10 1/ 0.10	3/ 0.30 1/ 0.10 1/ 0.10 5/ 0.50 5/ 0.50 3/ 0.30 1/ 0.10	5/ 1.00 3/ 0.60 3/ 0.60 1/ 0.20 5/ 1.00 1/ 0.20 5/ 1.00	3.40 2.60 2.60 3.60 4.20 3.60 3.60

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- -1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4.-Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- -7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- 9. Computation matrix

. (Return) to quit

Enter your choice: 2 (and 3)

Value Range Determination: Mean Price Per Point Method

Mean price per point:	\$4.18
Dispersion About the Mean:	\$0.05
Coefficient of Dispersion:	0.0121

Value Range Per Unit of Dispersion

-	Subject Paint Scare		Mean (+/- One Standard Deviation)		Pric e Per Unit
Low Estimate	3.00	X	\$4 .13	=	\$12.38
Central Tendency	3.00	X	\$4 .18	=	\$12.53
High Estimate	3.00	X	\$4 .23	=	\$12.68

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- .3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price-per point method: predicted vs. actual price for-comparables
- 7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- -9. Computation matrix

(Return) to quit

Enter-your choice: 4 (and 5)

Transaction Zone: Mean Price Per Point Method

Number of units in subject property: 30195

Low Estimate	\$ 373,679	or	\$374,000
Central Tendency	\$378,274	or .	\$378,000
High Estimate	\$382,869	0r	\$383,000

Transaction Zone: Linear Regression Method

a = -7.505322E - 02 Standard Error of the Forecast = .2056632

b = 4.200016

Prediction equation: price =

30195 units X [-7.505322E-02 +(4.200016 +/- .2056632) X 3]

Low Estimate	\$ 359,562	or	\$360,000
Central Tendency	\$378,192	or	\$ 378,000
High Estimate	\$376,822	or	\$397,00 0

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- -1- Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- -7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
 - 8. Input data
 - 9. Computation matrix

<Return> to quit
Enter your choice: 6

Mean Price Per Point Method: Predicted vs. Actual Price for Comparables

	Predicted Price	Actual price	Error
1115 O'NEILL ST.	\$14.20	\$14.46	-\$ 0.26
2810 BRYANT ST.	\$10.86	\$ 10.73	\$ 0.13
910 WATSON AVE.	\$10.86	\$ 10.81	\$0.0 5
4401 COTTAGE GROVE	\$15.03	\$ 15.21	-\$ 0.18
4610-22 FEMRITE RD	\$17.54	\$17.40	\$0.14
3103 WATFORD WAY	\$15.03	\$ 14.94	\$0.09

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- 9. Computation matrix

<Return> to quit
Enter your shoice: 7

Linear Regression Method: Predicted vs. Actual Price for Comparables

	Predicted Price	Actual price	Error
1115 O'NEILL ST.	\$14.20	\$14.46	-\$ 0.26
-2810 BRYANT ST.	\$10.84	\$10.73	\$0.11
910 WATSON AVE.	\$ 10.84	\$ 10.81	\$0.03
4401 COTTAGE GROVE	\$15. 0 5	\$1 5.21	\$0.16
4610-22 FEMRITE RD	\$ 17.57	\$17.40	- \$0.17
3103 WATFORD WAY	\$15.05	\$ 14.94	\$0.11

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- 9. Computation matrix

(Return) to quit Enter your chaice: 8

Project title: INDUSTRIAL WAREHOUSE

Unit prices Search interval = 5

	GR055	LOCAT	RATIO	EFF IC	QUALI	Price
Prel. wts.	30	30	10	10	20	-
1115 O'NEIL	5	1	3	3	5	\$14.46
2810 BRYANT	3	3	1	1	3	\$10.73
910 WATSON	1	5	1	1	3	\$10.81
4401 COTTAG	3	5	5	5	1	\$15.21
4610-22 FEM	5	3	3	5	5	\$17.40
3103 WATFOR	5	5	1	3	1	\$14.94
INDUSTRIAL	3	3	1	1	5	-

Display Output to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- 9. Computation matrix

<Return> to quit
Enter your choice: 9

Computation Matrix

 20
 20
 20
 20
 0

 10
 10
 10
 10
 10

 15
 15
 15
 15
 15

 25
 25
 25
 25
 25

 30
 30
 30
 30
 30

Display_Output_to Screen

Select output to be displayed:

- 1. Weighted matrix for properties
- 2. Value range determination: mean price per point method
- 3. Value range per unit of dispersion
- 4. Transaction zone: mean price per point method
- 5. Transaction zone: linear regression method
- 6. Mean price per point method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 7. Linear regression method: predicted vs. actual price for comparables
- 8. Input data
- .9. Computation matrix

(Return) to quit

Enter your chaice: 10

_Iterations

	GR055	LOCAT	RATIO	EFF IC	QUALI	S.D.	M	ean
Prelim. W	ts. 20	20	20	20	20	.441591	4.52	8223
Pass # 1	30	30	10	10	20	5.067353	E-02	4.175702
Pass # 2	30	30	10	10	20	5.067353	E-02	4.175902

QP

Version 2.1

Program Choices Are:

- 1. Enter/edit/display/file input data
- 2. Analyze quality point ratings
- 3. Display output to screen
- 4. Print output to printer
- 5. Select options
- 6. Quit

Enter your choice: ? 5

Special options

Enter your selection:

1. Change search interval

<Return> for no changes
Enter your choice: ? 5

Twnetieth Anniversary Celebration

CENTER FOR REAL ESTATE & URBAN ECONOMIC STUDIES The University of Connecticut

October 25, 1985

"Market Comparison Methods Are Not Statistical or Lyrical, But Are Set (Theory) in Their Ways in a Flight FROMM Degrees of Freedom: Or Bill Shenkel Was Right With His Naive Theory of Comparability"

- A. Market inference is the preferred method of valuation if we can discover a pricing pattern in the random dots of properties and transactions.

 The search for pattern must also be consistent with appraisal protocol.
 - 1. Valuation directly from a regression formula violates appraisal protocol if the appraiser has not inspected all of the comparables used, because the subject property is compared to a hypothetical mean property from the set of observations, and because the appraiser is not directly responsible for the selection or weights given the attributes selected as the basis of comparison. Moreover, the amount of data points were limited relative to the number of variables which were thought to be relevant so that the risk characteristic of statistical variance were also suspect.
 - 2. Market comparison is set theory using a limited number of subjectively selected properties in a relatively objective comparison on a few factors thought to be highly correlated to prices paid. An additive weighting system is one method for managing the information integration for a market comparison.
- B. One inferential method is to develop a pricing algorithm which provides an estimated price for each comparable and then presumes the same algorithm can be applied to the subject property. The steps involved are as follows:
 - Adjust prices for terms of sale and time on comparable properties. Comparable properties would be those bought for renovation, or for the owners own use, etc. You may choose to abstract out land values where size or locational quality is significantly different.
 - 2. Selecting a proper unit of comparison
 - 3. Developing a hierarchy of significant attributes thought to affect price and scoring each property on a point system
 - 4. Developing a weighting system to rank the relative importance of ordinal attribute scores on a cardinal scale
 - 5. Developing a price per weighted point per unit of comparison
 - 6. Testing the price weighting formula for best estimate of the sales price of actual comparables in order to minimize dispersion and variance between actual price and price estimated by formula

- 7. Application of a price per point formula to the subject property to estimate range of alternative prices
- 8. Adjustment of predicted price for unique externalities such as land, financing, or non-transferable license
- C. Search for an appropriate unit of comparison as a single variable in a linear regression by trying three or four unit concepts, such as:
 - 1. Gross building area
 - 2. Net leasable area
 - 3. Cubage
 - 4. Two times the first floor area plus gross building area
 - 5. Barrels of cranberries rather than acres of cranberries
 - 6. Number of bedrooms rather than square feet
- D. Arrive at a price per unit as the first step in establishing a price algorithm
- E. Identify property attributes which distinguish subject properties qualitatively from one another and develop a simple scoring system
 - 1. 5-3-1 is one method, but scores may become multipliers and lead to distortion
 - 2. Dilmore prefers:

Rating	Points			
Excellent	26			
Good	20			
Average	15			
Fair	13			
Poor	10			